Snake Recombination Landscapes Are Concentrated in Functional Regions despite PRDM9
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Abstract

Meiotic recombination in vertebrates is concentrated in hotspots throughout the genome. The location and stability of hotspots have been linked to the presence or absence of PRDM9, leading to two primary models for hotspot evolution derived from mammals and birds. Species with PRDM9-directed recombination have rapid turnover of hotspots concentrated in intergenic regions (i.e., mammals), whereas hotspots in species lacking PRDM9 are concentrated in functional regions and have greater stability over time (i.e., birds). Snakes possess PRDM9, yet virtually nothing is known about snake recombination. Here, we examine the recombination landscape and test hypotheses about the roles of PRDM9 in rattlesnakes. We find substantial variation in recombination rate within and among snake chromosomes, and positive correlations between recombination rate and gene density, GC content, and genetic diversity. Like mammals, snakes appear to have a functional and active PRDM9, but rather than being directed away from genes, snake hotspots are concentrated in promoters and functional regions—a pattern previously associated only with species that lack a functional PRDM9. Snakes therefore provide a unique example of recombination landscapes in which PRDM9 is functional, yet recombination hotspots are associated with functional genic regions—a combination of features that defy existing paradigms for recombination landscapes in vertebrates. Our findings also provide evidence that high recombination rates are a shared feature of vertebrate microchromosomes. Our results challenge previous assumptions about the adaptive role of PRDM9 and highlight the diversity of recombination landscape features among vertebrate lineages.
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Introduction

Meiotic genetic recombination shapes the structure, composition, and variation of genomes (Eyre-Walker 1993; Posada et al. 2002; Kent et al. 2012). Accordingly, recombination also governs patterns of sequence evolution in populations, including the generation and maintenance of novel combinations of alleles (Nachman and Payseur 2012; Hunter 2015). Recombination erodes associations between physically linked loci through the decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD), and is hypothesized to increase the efficacy of selection through Hill–Robertson effects (Hill and Robertson 1966) and to interact with hitchhiking and background selection to shape genetic diversity across the genome (Keinan and Reich 2010; Cutter and Payseur 2013; Haasl and Payseur 2016). Recombination can also act as a source of genetic variation by causing mutation (Lercher and Hurst 2002), which can drive regional increases in specific nucleotides or sequence motifs (Meunier and Duret 2004; McVean 2010; Pessia et al. 2012). Considering the broad relevance of recombination in shaping genomes of sexually reproducing organisms, mechanisms that direct recombination and the resulting genomewide recombination landscape are central for understanding genome structure, function, and evolution.

Across species with sexual reproduction, meiotic recombination is driven by the initiation of double-stranded breaks (DSBs) by SPO11 (Keeney 2007). The repair of these breaks results in crossover and noncrossover recombination events throughout the genome (Keeney 2007; Lam and Keeney 2015). DSBs initiated by SPO11 preferentially localize in regions of the genome that contain histone H3 lysine K4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) marks; these marks are typically associated with open chromatin, such as that found in open gene promoters (Borde et al. 2009). Further, high density of DSBs can lead to the formation of recombination hotspots, which are small genomic regions of disproportionately frequent recombination.

Although the presence of a universal DSB-generating mechanism and of recombination hotspots are common...
Evidence for PRDM9 Activity in Snake Meiotic Recombination

features of vertebrates studied to date, previous studies have demonstrated that the location of hotspots in the genome, and how rapidly they change over evolutionary time, varies in a bimodal fashion depending on whether a particular species has an active PRDM9 (Myers et al. 2005; Axelsson et al. 2012; Singhal et al. 2015; Baker et al. 2017; Kawakami et al. 2017; Schumer et al. 2018). Species with a partial complement of PRDM9 domains do not appear to have PRDM9-directed recombination (Baker et al. 2017), and the KRAB domain specifically is known to be required for proper function in mammals (Imai et al. 2017). Where present, and with the complete domain structure (e.g., in apes and mice), PRDM9 orchestrates the recombination landscape by the binding of its fast-evolving zinc-finger (ZF) array to specific nucleotide motifs, which results in the alteration of H3K4me3 marks and in the diversion of recombination away from genes and functional regions (Myers et al. 2005; Berg et al. 2010; Brick et al. 2012; Lam and Keeney 2015). As a consequence of the rapid evolution of the PRDM9-binding site, species with PRDM9-directed recombination consistently exhibit rapid turnover of recombination hotspots, leading to major differences in recombination landscapes over short evolutionary timescales (Baudat et al. 2010; Myers et al. 2010). By contrast, vertebrates that either lack or have a nonfunctional PRDM9 (e.g., birds [Singhal et al. 2015; Baker et al. 2017] and canids [Axelsson et al. 2012]) possess recombination hotspots that are concentrated in promoter regions (Axelsson et al. 2012; Auton et al. 2013; Singhal et al. 2015; Kawakami et al. 2017), presumably due to the default targeting of SPO11 to open chromatin near gene promoters (Ramirez-Carrozza et al. 2009; Thomson et al. 2010; Tock and Henderson 2018). This is further supported by studies of mice in which PRDM9 has been knocked out, shifting recombination hotspots to promoter regions (Brick et al. 2012). The genomic location of hotspots in species without PRDM9 also tends to be conserved over millions of years of evolution, leading to a broad conservation of genomic recombination landscapes between populations and species (Singhal et al. 2015; Kawakami et al. 2017). Accordingly, the body of previous work on recombination landscapes in vertebrates has established a paradigmatic bifurcation of recombination control across vertebrates—one involving PRDM9 and one without PRDM9—each of which leads to fundamentally divergent outcomes and evolutionary dynamics of genome-wide recombination. Evidence for the rapid evolution of PRDM9 through positive selection (Ponting 2011) suggests a potential adaptive role of PRDM9-directed recombination, possibly as a means of directing recombination away from functionally important promoter regions and genes.

Although recombination in mammals and birds has been well characterized, recombination landscapes have not been investigated in other divergent lineages of amniote vertebrates—for example, although they comprise more than 10,000 species (The Reptile Database; http://www.reptile-database.org), remarkably little is known about recombination landscapes in squamate reptiles (lizards and snakes) (Fujita et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2017). Squamates diverged from a common ancestor >200 Ma and are important models for studying variation in recombination landscapes due to variation in presence or absence of a functional PRDM9 gene among lineages (Baker et al. 2017). Snakes are particularly interesting in this regard because, like mammals, they appear to possess a functional PRDM9 (Baker et al. 2017), yet like birds possess both macro- and microchromosomes (Olmo 2005; Janes et al. 2010; Schield et al. 2019). Snakes also exhibit remarkable variation in genomic repeat content, even between closely related lineages, and appear to have reacquired GC isochores after a squamate ancestor with reduced isochrome structure (Castoe et al. 2013; Pasquesi et al. 2018). Detailed studies of recombination landscapes in snakes have not been feasible to date due to the relatively poor quality of snake genome assemblies (Castoe et al. 2013; Vonk et al. 2013; Yin et al. 2016; Perry et al. 2018). However, the recent availability of a well-annotated chromosome-level genome assembly for the prairie rattlesnake (Crotalus viridis) (Schield et al. 2019) now provides an opportunity to study recombination rate variation in a squamate reptile.

In this study, we leverage whole-genome resequencing of populations of two rattlesnake species, RNA-seq data from several snake lineages, and a chromosome-level rattlesnake genome assembly to characterize recombination landscapes in snakes. We use these data sets to address broad questions about the recombination landscape and the mechanisms underlying it within snakes, including: 1) are snake recombination landscapes conserved over millions of years of evolution, as observed in birds, or do they instead resemble rapidly shifting mammal landscapes; 2) what sequence features of snake genomes are associated with recombination hotspots; 3) is there evidence that PRDM9 is functional in snakes; 4) does PRDM9 play a role in directing snake recombination; and 5) do snake recombination landscapes adhere to one of the two well-characterized and divergent patterns of PRDM9-active or -inactive vertebrate species?

Results

Recombination Variation across the Genome

We estimated LD-based population-scaled recombination rates across the genomes of two rattlesnake species, the prairie rattlesnake (Crotalus viridis) and the northern Pacific rattlesnake (C. oreganus), using whole-genome resequencing data from natural populations mapped to the C. viridis reference genome (see Materials and Methods; supplementary fig. S1a and table S1, Supplementary Material online). Our mapping and variant calling procedure yielded an average coverage of 36.7× per sample (range = 9–106.2×; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) and 14,102,890 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in C. viridis and 18,233,083 SNPs in C. oreganus, which have roughly 1.22% sequence divergence. Using a Bayesian phylogenetic approach, we estimated the divergence time of the two species to be roughly 2.8 Ma (supplementary fig. S1b, Supplementary Material online), and we estimated population-scaled genetic diversity (θW) to be 0.00057 in C. viridis and 0.00054 in C. oreganus. For simplicity, we refer to these species as “CV” and “CO,” hereafter.
We observed broadly similar genome-wide patterns of recombination between the two rattlesnake species studied, both of which show substantial variation in recombination within and between chromosomes (fig. 1). Variation in estimates of population-scaled recombination rate, $\rho$/bp ($\rho = 4N_e\mu$, where $\mu$ is the per generation recombination rate), spanned greater than eight orders of magnitude in both species ($9.07 \times 10^{-8}$–$30.93$ in CV, $3.86 \times 10^{-7}$–$41.95$ in CO). Within macrochromosomes, we observed high recombination in telomeric regions (fig. 1a). We characterized this variation further by calculating relationships between recombination rate and distance to chromosome end, and found significant negative correlations in both species (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online; Pearson’s...
correlation coefficients; \( r = -0.432, P = 2.4 \times 10^{-12} \) in CV; \( r = -0.372, P = 2.76 \times 10^{-9} \) in CO), consistent with a strong telomere effect on macrochromosomes. We also observed a high degree of within-chromosome variation in recombination rate on microchromosomes (fig. 1a–c), similar to the pattern found in avian species (e.g., zebra finch; Backström et al. 2010). For example, in CV, the SD for recombination rate in 1-Mb windows on Chromosome 1 was 0.0037, compared with 0.011 on Chromosome 9 (i.e., the largest microchromosome). Recombination rates in the two rattlesnake species were significantly greater on microchromosomes relative to macrochromosomes (Welch’s two-sample \( t \)-tests, \( P \) values <2.9 \( \times 10^{-11} \)), and we found significant negative relationships between recombination rate and chromosome length (Pearson’s correlation coefficients; \( r = -0.681, P = 0.0063 \) in CV; \( r = -0.629, P = 0.0051 \) in CO), indicating generally higher recombination rates on shorter chromosomes.

Although population-scaled recombination rate was higher in CO (mean ± SD = 0.014 ± 0.016 \( \rho \)/bp) than CV (0.0063 ± 0.0082 \( \rho \)/bp), genome-wide patterns of recombination between the two species were highly correlated at broad scales (fig. 1d). Our comparisons of mean population-scaled recombination rate estimates for 1-Mb and 100-kb windows between the two species yielded significant positive correlations at each of these resolutions (Pearson’s correlation coefficients: 1 Mb \( r = 0.819, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); 100 kb \( r = 0.502, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)), suggesting that the genomic landscape of recombination is conserved at broad scales between the two species (fig. 1). Similar to patterns of rate variation across chromosomes and elevated recombination rates on smaller chromosomes, the broad-scale conservation of the recombination landscape between CV and CO is reminiscent of bird genomic landscapes.

### Genomic Correlates of Recombination

To understand links between structural features of the genome, genetic diversity, and recombination, we compared broad-scale patterns of recombination rate in 1-Mb genomic windows with measures of genomic nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)), GC content, gene density, and repeat content (fig. 1e–j and table 1). We found strong positive relationships between recombination rate and \( \pi \) in both snake species (fig. 1e and f), which correspond to significant pairwise correlations (Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients; CV \( r = 0.586, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = 0.546, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)). These relationships were also significant after performing partial correlations to control for GC content, gene density, and repeat content (\( r = 0.593, P = 1.87 \times 10^{-14} \) in CV; \( r = 0.534, P = 4.95 \times 10^{-8} \)). Partial correlations between nucleotide diversity and recombination were highest among all comparisons for both species (see below).

Among various features of genome structure, recombination was most positively correlated with GC content and gene density, and to a lesser degree with repeat content (fig. 1g–j and table 1); recombination rate and GC content were significantly correlated in both pairwise (CV \( r = 0.293, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = 0.261, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)) and partial correlation analyses (CV \( r = 0.127, P = 1.03 \times 10^{-5} \); CO \( r = 0.124, P = 1.72 \times 10^{-5} \)). Recombination rate and gene density were also significantly correlated in pairwise tests (fig. 1i and j and table 1; CV \( r = 0.289, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = 0.238, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)), and in partial correlation analyses (CV \( r = 0.154, P = 8.46 \times 10^{-8} \); CO \( r = 0.12, P = 3.95 \times 10^{-5} \)). Finally, recombination rate and repeat content were also significantly correlated in pairwise tests (CV \( r = 0.06, P = 0.047 \); CO \( r = 0.089, P = 0.002 \)) and partial correlation analyses (CV \( r = 0.1, P = 5.33 \times 10^{-4} \); CO \( r = 0.12, P = 2.39 \times 10^{-5} \)). As a complement to 1-Mb resolution analyses, we performed correlation analyses at 100-kb resolution (table 1). These results were qualitatively similar to 1-Mb resolution, with significantly positive pairwise and partial correlations between recombination rate and nucleotide diversity, GC content, gene density, and repeat content. Collectively, positive associations between recombination rate, gene density, and nucleotide diversity are consistent with the expectations of genomic polymorphism being shaped by linked selection (Burri et al. 2015). The association between recombination rate and repetitive element content has also been observed in flycatchers (Kawakami et al. 2017), potentially due to the shared ability of the recombination machinery and repeat elements to preferentially access open chromatin regions.

### Recombination Variation on the Z Chromosome

There are broad predictions about how recombination manifests on sex chromosomes compared with the rest of the genome. First, sex-linked regions are expected to exhibit

---

**Table 1. Pairwise and Partial Spearman’s Rank-Order Correlation Coefficients between Population-Scaled Recombination Rate (\( \rho \)) and Genomic Measures in CV and CO at 1-Mb and 100-kb Windowed Resolution.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>( \rho ) vs. ( \pi )</th>
<th>( \rho ) vs. GC Content</th>
<th>( \rho ) vs. Gene Density</th>
<th>( \rho ) vs. Repeat Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pairwise</td>
<td>Partial(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Mb</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.586***</td>
<td>0.593***</td>
<td>0.293***</td>
<td>0.127**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.546***</td>
<td>0.534***</td>
<td>0.261***</td>
<td>0.124**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 kb</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.6***</td>
<td>0.606***</td>
<td>0.242***</td>
<td>0.153***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.522***</td>
<td>0.509***</td>
<td>0.202***</td>
<td>0.132***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^a\)Partial correlation controls for GC content, gene density, and repeat content.  
\(^b\)Partial correlation controls for gene density and repeat content.  
\(^c\)Partial correlation controls for GC content and repeat content.  
\(^d\)Partial correlation controls for GC content and gene density.

\(* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.001; *** P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \).
reduced recombination relative to autosomes in species with heteromorphic sex chromosomes (Barton and Charlesworth 1998; Bergero and Charlesworth 2009). Rattlesnakes have female heterogamety (ZW) with highly heteromorphic Z and W chromosomes (Baker et al. 1972; Matsubara et al. 2006), thus, we predict reduced recombination within Z- and W-linked regions. Second, sex chromosomes include a region where recombination is unsuppressed (i.e., the pseudoautosomal region; PAR), in which recombination rates are expected to resemble those from autosomes (Bergero and Charlesworth 2009). These regions have been previously identified on the rattlesnake Z chromosome based on features of genome structure and comparative read mapping analyses from female and male individuals (Schield et al. 2019), but recombination has not yet been examined for snake sex chromosomes. Therefore, we addressed the above predictions using our recombination rate estimates across the previously identified Z chromosome regions.

Consistent with the expectation of reduced recombination in sex-linked regions, we observed significantly lower recombination rates on the Z chromosome compared with autosomes in both species (fig. 2a and b; Welch’s two-sample t-tests, \( P \) values < 2.2 × 10\(^{-16}\)). Further, consistent with the expectation of unsuppressed recombination between Z and W chromosomes within the PAR, recombination rates were significantly greater in the PAR than Z-linked regions of the Z chromosome (t-tests, CV \( P \) value = 7.7 × 10\(^{-12}\), CO \( P \) value = 2.73 × 10\(^{-12}\)). PAR recombination rates were also significantly higher than autosomes overall (t-tests, CV \( P \) value = 2.39 × 10\(^{-6}\), CO \( P \) value = 2.65 × 10\(^{-6}\)) and terminal regions of autosomes, specifically (t-tests, CV \( P \) value = 0.019, CO \( P \) value = 0.027). Recombination rate estimates across the Z chromosome therefore broadly corroborate the previous identification of Z-linked and PAR regions in the prairie rattlesnake. We also observed low recombination rates in both species across the region immediately adjacent to the PAR (fig. 2b). This region was previously identified as a recently recombination-suppressed evolutionary stratum between rattlesnake Z and W chromosomes ("recent stratum"; Schield et al. 2019) based on elevated female \( \pi \) (but not male \( \pi \)) and intermediate female coverage within the region. It was also hypothesized that there is ongoing degeneration of the gametologous region of the W chromosome. Accordingly, we find roughly equivalent recombination rates in this region compared with the remaining Z-linked region ("older strata"; t-tests, CV \( P \) value = 0.051, CO \( P \) value =

---

**Fig. 2.** Genomic variation on the Z chromosome. (a) Schematic of Z chromosome regions identified in Schield et al. (2019), with approximate boundaries in Mb. PAR stands for pseudoautosomal region. (b) Variation in statistics across the Z chromosome in 100-kb windows, including \( \rho/\text{bp} \) in CV and CO, gene density, GC content, and normalized female and male \( \pi \). In recombination rate panels for CV and CO, mean \( \rho/\text{bp} \) estimates for each window are shown as dots, and the lines show a smoothed spline across windowed estimates. Black dashed lines represent mean autosomal macrochromosome recombination rates per species. Gene density and GC content were measured from the prairie rattlesnake reference genome. Normalized \( \pi \) in both sexes was calculated by dividing the value of \( \pi \) in each window by the autosomal median of each sex, respectively.
Recombination Hotspots Evolve Rapidly and Are Concentrated in Functional Genomic Regions

Because recombination hotspots appear to be a common feature of vertebrate genomes regardless of PRDM9 activity, we explored fine-scale recombination rates to identify evidence for hotspots. We defined hotspots in snake genomes as small genomic regions with much higher (e.g., >100-fold) recombination rate relative to regions immediately up- and downstream (fig. 3 and supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online), and identified 9,247 such regions in CV and 10,656 in CO (fig. 3a, b, and d). Only 2,517 hotspots were shared between the two species (fig. 3c and d), corresponding to 27.2% and 23.6% of identified hotspots in CV and CO, respectively. This low frequency of shared hotspots suggests rapid turnover of hotspots between these two closely related snake species, similar to patterns observed in PRDM9-active mammalian species (e.g., Ptak et al. 2005; Stevison et al. 2016), and in contrast to the conservation and evolutionary "stability" observed in PRDM9-lacking bird species (Burri et al. 2015; Singhal et al. 2015; Kawakami et al. 2017).

Hotspots in both species were distributed throughout the genome with greatest density on autosomes (supplementary figs. S3 and S4, Supplementary Material online). Because power to identify hotspots has been shown to depend on background levels of recombination (Myers et al. 2005; Axellson et al. 2012; Auton et al. 2013; Singhal et al. 2015), we expect that low hotspot density on the Z chromosome is likely due to low power within this large region of low background recombination rate. Simulations to determine our power to identify hotspots at various background recombination rates further suggest low power to identify Z-linked hotspots, as they consistently demonstrated low power in regions of low recombination (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online), such as the Z chromosome. Our results therefore likely provide a conservative estimate of the distribution of hotspots on the Z. Given the evidence for a broad relationship between GC content and recombination across the genome (fig. 1 and supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online), we tested whether rattlesnake hotspots also had higher GC content than the genomic background. We calculated GC content among all CV and CO hotspots and compared these with GC content measured in 2-kb windows across the prairie rattlesnake genome and found significantly higher GC content in hotspots of both species (supplementary fig. S6a, Supplementary Material online; Welch’s two-sample t-tests, \( P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)). Fine-scale patterns of higher recombination in CpG islands (CGIs) were also consistent with a broad genomic relationship between recombination rate and CGI density in 1-Mb sliding windows (supplementary fig. S6b–d, Supplementary Material online; Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients, CV \( r = 0.314, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = 0.257, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)).

A key distinction between PRDM9-active and inactive recombination mechanisms in vertebrates studied to date is whether promoters and functional regions are hotspots for recombination, as is the case in PRDM9-inactive species. To examine the relationship between functional elements and fine-scale recombination rates, we examined \( p/bp \) in intervals of increasing distance from functional elements, including annotated promoters and CGIs, in the rattlesnake reference genome. We observed decreases in relative recombination rate with increasing distance from these features (fig. 4a–d), with significant negative correlations between relative recombination rate and log-scaled distance from promoters (Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients; CV \( r = -0.549, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = -0.359, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)) and CGIs (CV \( r = -0.613, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = -0.55, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)). Further, 20.3% of CV hotspots and 19.6% of CO hotspots overlapped with promoter regions, and 42.3% of CV hotspots and 35.8% of CO hotspots were within CGIs. The proportion of shared hotspots in CGIs was even greater (48.7% of shared hotspots overlapped with CGIs), and CGIs were significantly enriched for shared hotspots (Fisher’s exact tests of species-specific vs. shared hotspots; CV \( P = 4.02 \times 10^{-5} \), CO \( P = 1.7 \times 10^{-15} \)). This enrichment of shared hotspots may suggest that CGIs harbor a disproportionate number of hotspots that are stable over evolutionary time. Genes overlapping shared hotspots between CV and

![Figure 3](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-abstract/37/5/1272/5700722/1277)
CO did not show evidence of functional enrichment after false discovery rate correction (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).

Hotspot density in nine nonoverlapping genomic features also provides consistent evidence for increased recombination near genes; hotspot density was highest in CGIs, promoters, and first exons (fig. 4e and supplementary fig. 7a, Supplementary Material online), and overall recombination rates were greatest in these regions (fig. 4f and supplementary fig. 7b, Supplementary Material online). Promoters with CGIs also had higher hotspot density than promoters lacking CGIs in both species, though separate comparisons of recombination rate estimates near promoters with and without CGIs suggest that there is not a strong additive effect of CGIs on recombination rate with respect to promoters (supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). As a comparison to candidate hotspots, we also calculated densities of coldspots with GC content matched to recombination hotspots.
from both species in genomic features. Distributions were distinct, with highest coldspot densities occurring in intergenic regions, transposable elements, and introns. However, the difference in density between functional regions and other features was not as pronounced as in hotspots, indicating a greater relative abundance of hotspots in CGIs and promoters (fig. 4e and supplementary figs. S7 and S9, Supplementary Material online). Finally, we examined the distribution of recombination in up- and downstream 500-kb regions of all genes and found evidence that recombination increases in genic regions (fig. 4g and supplementary fig. 7c, Supplementary Material online). Collectively, these findings illustrate that snake recombination hotspots are concentrated in functional regions associated with genes and open chromatin— a pattern otherwise typically associated with species that lack a functional PRDM9 (Axelsson et al. 2012; Singhal et al. 2015; Baker et al. 2017).

To further understand the composition of recombination hotspots, we identified DNA sequence motifs enriched in CV and CO hotspots compared with GC-matched coldspots. Enriched motifs varied in length and composition within and between species, and motifs in CV and CO hotspots were largely distinct, consistent with the large proportion of species-specific hotspots inferred (supplementary fig. S10, Supplementary Material online). Searches of enriched motif sequences against the JASPAR-binding motif database (Fornes et al. 2019) showed similarity of CV and CO hotspots to ZF-binding proteins, including multiple transcription factors (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). Although these searches identified ZF motifs in hotspots, none of these motifs was associated with known PRDM9 sequences, specifically. This result is not overly informative, however, because rapid evolution of binding motifs is a prominent feature of PRDM9 in most PRDM9-active lineages (e.g., mammals). Sequence motifs enriched in hotspots also included the binding motif associated with the insulator protein CTCFL (also known as BORIS; Hore et al. 2008). Below, we investigate the recombination landscape in the context of both CTCFL and PRDM9 to determine the degree to which these proteins and their binding sites are associated with meiotic recombination in snakes.

Evidence for a Role of CTCFL in Snake Meiotic Recombination

CTCFL is a germline-expressed insulator protein and close paralog of CTCF, both of which share a highly conserved DNA-binding motif (Sleutels et al. 2012). This group of proteins has been shown to play a role in maintaining genome integrity during DSBs (Hilmi et al. 2017; Lang et al. 2017), and their binding sites are associated with regions of elevated recombination in humans (Kong et al. 2014). We were therefore motivated to investigate evidence of associations between CTCF/CTCFL and recombination hotspots. We analyzed gene expression across germline and somatic tissues in three snake species and two mammals and found consistent evidence that CTCFL is expressed at comparatively high levels in germline tissues of snakes (fig. 5a), consistent with CTCFL playing a role in meiotic recombination in this lineage.

Given evidence of germline expression and of hotspot enrichment for DNA motifs with similarity to that of CTCFL, we searched for matches to the JASPAR database consensus 14-mer CTCFL-binding motif in hotspots and matched coldspots...
in both rattlesnake species. We found a large proportion of hotspots that contained the CTCFL motif (42.3% in CV and 40% in CO), corresponding to significant enrichment of CTCFL-binding sites in species-specific and shared hotspots (fig. 5b; Fisher’s exact test; CV-specific \( P = 5.63 \times 10^{-82} \); CO-specific \( P = 1.86 \times 10^{-25} \), shared \( P = 1.41 \times 10^{-29} \)). We also examined the prevalence of the consensus 19-mer CTCFL-binding site in recombination hotspots, and found evidence of enrichment in CV-specific and shared hotspots (Fisher’s exact test; \( P = 2.43 \times 10^{-15} \) and \( 3.32 \times 10^{-35} \), respectively), but not CO-specific hotspots, despite roughly 28% of CO-specific hotspots containing predicted CTCF-binding sites (Fisher’s exact test; \( P = 0.967 \)). We then predicted CTCFL-binding motifs throughout the C. viridis genome to test whether there was a relationship between recombination rate and proximity to predicted CTCF-binding sites. This analysis revealed a strong increase in recombination rate with proximity to predicted CTCF-binding sites (fig. 5c and d; Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients; CV \( r = -0.43, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = -0.38, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \), similar to observed correlations for CGIs and promoters. To discern whether this pattern is driven solely by an autocorrelation with increased recombination near functional regions, we examined pairwise relationships between distance from CGIs and promoters and CTCFL-binding sites. These comparisons found weak positive associations unlikely to be fully explained by the relationship between recombination and functional regions (Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients; CV CTCFL vs. promoters \( r = 0.148, P = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \); CO CTCFL vs. promoters \( r = 0.139, P = 8.9 \times 10^{-6} \); CV CTCFL vs. CGIs \( r = 0.138, P = 1.05 \times 10^{-5} \); CO CTCFL vs. CGIs \( r = 0.037, P = 0.24 \), arguing for a legitimate relationship between recombination and CTCFL.

**Evidence for PRDM9-Directed Recombination**

Multiple snake species have been shown to possess a putatively functional PRDM9 ortholog that contains rapidly evolving DNA-binding ZF domains (Baker et al. 2017), yet no detailed tests of the relationship between PRDM9 and snake recombination landscapes have been conducted. Because the region of the C. viridis genome assembly encoding the ZF exon of PRDM9 contained a gap, we augmented the genome assembly with additional data from C. viridis (10× Genomics linked-read data for genome subassembly and PacBio long read data; supplementary fig. S11 and data files S1–S3, Supplementary Material online). This provided an inference of the PRDM9 coding sequence that included the full set of functional domains shown to be required for PRDM9 activity in recombination (Baker et al. 2017; Imai et al. 2017), and a partial tandem array of three ZFs. We confirmed orthology of the candidate rattlesnake PRDM9 to that of other vertebrates using a BLASTp search (Altschul et al. 1990), and aligned our sequence to PRDM9 sequences from other snakes: the five-pace viper (Dienagkistrodon acutus) and Burmese python (Python bivittatus); none of these snake PRDM9 sequences contained premature stop codons that would indicate loss of function (supplementary fig. S12, Supplementary Material online). These orthologous comparisons, along with our own data for C. viridis (supplementary fig. S11, Supplementary Material online), suggest that our C. viridis PRDM9 sequence is incomplete at the 3′-end and lacks one or more ZFs that were not able to resolve due to the lack of genome completeness and ambiguities in resolving this region. The strongest evidence for this is that our best C. viridis genome sequence ends in an open reading frame without a terminal stop codon (supplementary fig. S12, Supplementary Material online).

Considering evidence for a putatively functional PRDM9 gene in multiple snake genomes, we next tested several major predictions of PRDM9 activity in meiotic recombination. First, if PRDM9 plays a role in directing meiotic recombination in snakes, then it would have to be expressed in germline tissue, as observed in other vertebrates (Baker et al. 2017). Consistent with this expectation, we find evidence that PRDM9 is expressed at high levels in snake germline tissues, especially in testes (fig. 6a). These findings support the view that not only is PRDM9 present in snake genomes but that its expression pattern in snake tissues resembles patterns observed in PRDM9-active species, such as humans (fig. 6a; Hayashi et al. 2005; Oliver et al. 2009).

We further evaluated evidence for a functional role of PRDM9 in meiotic recombination in snakes by testing for a relationship between predicted PRDM9 nucleotide-binding sites and recombination. Our searches for putative PRDM9-binding motifs, however, were limited by having a partial array of three tandem ZFs for C. viridis (see Materials and Methods). First, we predicted the DNA-binding motifs of the first three tandem ZFs in PRDM9 from C. viridis and the two distantly related snake species for which we also confirmed germline PRDM9 expression (fig. 6a and b), and the partial PRDM9-binding motif of Boa constrictor as an additional comparison. We used these species-specific predictions to identify candidate-binding sites of these orthologous proteins in the C. viridis genome. We also compared distance from binding sites with recombination rate in CV and CO, annotated the density of predicted binding sites across the genome, and examined the proximity of species-specific binding sites to hotspots. We found that relative recombination rate increased with proximity to predicted C. viridis PRDM9-binding sites (fig. 6c and d), with a significant negative association between recombination rate and distance. This relationship was stronger in CV than CO (Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients, CV \( r = -0.57, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \); CO \( r = -0.46, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)).

Lineages with active PRDM9 are characterized by rapid turnover of recombination hotspots due to rapid evolution in PRDM9-binding sites across populations and species (Ségurel et al. 2011). We tested this prediction of PRDM9-activity by evaluating how well the divergent PRDM9-binding motifs from different snake species (Python and Deinagkistrodon; fig. 6b) predicted recombination landscapes in rattlesnakes. The predicted PRDM9-binding sites from other snake species were poorer predictors of fine-scale recombination rate than those predicted from the C. viridis partial motif (fig. 6e–h). Distance from PRDM9-binding site
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interval—larger, darker points depict more measurements. Relative rates were calculated by dividing rate per interval by the median rate across all smoothed splines. The size and opacity of points correspond to the number of measurements from the recombination map for a given distance c species, including mammals (Homo sapiens and Mus musculus) and snakes (Python bivittatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, and Crotalus viridis). The phylogeny to the left shows relationships among the sampled taxa, and nodes are labeled with estimated divergence times in Ma. (b) Computationally predicted PRDM9-binding motifs from the first three zinc-fingers in the DNA-binding arrays of Python bivittatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, and Crotalus viridis. (c and d) Relative recombination rate with increasing distance in kb from predicted C. viridis PRDM9-binding sites in CV (c) and CO (d). Points depict mean population-scaled recombination rate in intervals of 100 bp and bold lines are smoothed splines. The size and opacity of points correspond to the number of measurements from the recombination map for a given distance interval—larger, darker points depict more measurements. Relative rates were calculated by dividing rate per interval by the median rate across all intervals. (e and f) Relative recombination rates in CV and CO in distance intervals from Deinagkistrodon acutus PRDM9-binding sites predicted in the C. viridis genome. (g and h) Relative recombination rates in CV and CO in distance intervals from Python bivittatus PRDM9 sites predicted in the C. viridis genome. (i) Annotation of C. viridis PRDM9-binding site density in nine nonoverlapping genomic features of the C. viridis genome. Bar heights are equal to the total number of binding site bases in each category divided by the total length of features within the category. (j) Annotation of predicted Deinagkistrodon PRDM9-binding site density in C. viridis genomic features. (k) Annotation of predicted Python PRDM9-binding site density in C. viridis genomic features.

Fig. 6. Association between PRDM9 and recombination in snakes. (a) Log2 gene expression of PRDM9 homologs across tissues from vertebrate species, including mammals (Homo sapiens and Mus musculus) and snakes (Python bivittatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, and Crotalus viridis). The phylogeny to the left shows relationships among the sampled taxa, and nodes are labeled with estimated divergence times in Ma. (b) Computationally predicted PRDM9-binding motifs from the first three zinc-fingers in the DNA-binding arrays of Python bivittatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, and Crotalus viridis. (c and d) Relative recombination rate with increasing distance in kb from predicted C. viridis PRDM9-binding sites in CV (c) and CO (d). Points depict mean population-scaled recombination rate in intervals of 100 bp and bold lines are smoothed splines. The size and opacity of points correspond to the number of measurements from the recombination map for a given distance interval—larger, darker points depict more measurements. Relative rates were calculated by dividing rate per interval by the median rate across all intervals. (e and f) Relative recombination rates in CV and CO in distance intervals from Deinagkistrodon acutus PRDM9-binding sites predicted in the C. viridis genome. (g and h) Relative recombination rates in CV and CO in distance intervals from Python bivittatus PRDM9 sites predicted in the C. viridis genome. (i) Annotation of C. viridis PRDM9-binding site density in nine nonoverlapping genomic features of the C. viridis genome. Bar heights are equal to the total number of binding site bases in each category divided by the total length of features within the category. (j) Annotation of predicted Deinagkistrodon PRDM9-binding site density in C. viridis genomic features. (k) Annotation of predicted Python PRDM9-binding site density in C. viridis genomic features.

predictions from Deinagkistrodon, which diverged from Crotalus ~33 Ma, was positively correlated with recombination rate in the two species (CV $r = 0.148, P = 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$, CO $r = 0.142, P = 6.76 \times 10^{-6}$). Recombination rate also increased slightly with increasing distance from Python PRDM9-binding sites (~91 My divergent; CV $r = 0.066,$
PRDM9 Function in Snakes

Baker et al. (2017) found evidence to support a very deep (i.e., ancestral) origin and broad conservation of PRDM9 within vertebrates, but also repeated losses of the PRDM9 gene and PRDM9 function. Among squamates, it is known that the PRDM9 gene has been lost at least once in lizards (i.e., Anolis carolinensis; Singhal et al. 2015; Baker et al. 2017), and there have been wholesale losses in other major reptilian lineages (e.g., crocodilians and birds). Previous support for PRDM9 function in snakes was based primarily on the presence of a PRDM9 ortholog that contained a full complement of KRAB, SSXD, SET, and C2H2 Zinc Finger (ZF) domains in the genomes of multiple divergent snake species, and on the rapid evolution of the PRDM9 ZF domain responsible for DNA binding (Baker et al. 2017)—all hallmarks of PRDM9 activity (Myers et al. 2010; Axelsson et al. 2012; Schwartz et al. 2014; Stevison et al. 2016). However, links between the presence of PRDM9 and recombination in snakes have not been demonstrated due to a lack of high-quality genomic resources for snakes and population genomic data needed for estimation of recombination maps.

Here, we present new data consistent with PRDM9 function in snakes, including that the PRDM9 gene is identifiable in multiple snake genomes (e.g., Python, Deinagkistrodon, and Crotalus), contains no missense mutations or premature stop codons in any available snake genome (Baker et al. 2017; supplementary fig. S12, Supplementary Material online), and is expressed in the germline of each species for which RNA-seq data were available (fig. 6a). Because snakes included in this study represent multiple diverse lineages that span >90 My of divergence (Zheng and Wiens 2016), PRDM9 function appears to be a conserved trait in snakes. Additionally, at a fine scale, evidence that a majority of identified recombination hotspots are species-specific when we compare CV and CO (which diverged ~3 Ma; fig. 3d and supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online), is consistent with relatively rapid hotspot turnover due to PRDM9.
activity. We found additional support for the activity of PRDM9 in snakes based on the relationships between recombination hotspots, predicted PRDM9-binding sites, and relatively high species-specificity of these relationships. Specifically, we identified strong correlations between species-specific PRDM9 DNA-binding motif sequences and hotspots, as well as between binding motif sequences and fine-scale recombination rates (fig. 5c and d). Consistent with the rapid evolution of PRDM9 (and its DNA-binding motif) driving rapid turnover of hotspots, we found that only species-specific PRDM9 DNA-binding motif sequences were good predictors of recombination rates in rattlesnakes, whereas binding motifs from other snake species were poor predictors of recombination (fig. 6g and h). The C. viridis PRDM9-binding site was also only enriched in CV-specific hotspots, and not in CO-specific hotspots, further suggesting that rapid turnover of recombination hotspots between closely related rattlesnake species is related to the action of PRDM9. Importantly, our inferences of PRDM9 binding are limited by our recovery of a partial ZF-binding motif for C. viridis, and further work is needed to fully investigate the binding of remaining ZFs in the PRDM9 array. Nonetheless, these combined lines of evidence together suggest that PRDM9 functions in snakes, as it does in mammals and other vertebrates, as a mechanism for directing the genomic location of recombination hotspots.

Snake Recombination Occurs in Functional Regions despite PRDM9

How and where recombination hotspots arise in vertebrates are often explained by two divergent models of meiotic recombination that differ in whether PRDM9 is active or not. In species with a functional PRDM9, recombination hotspots form through DSBs that occur due to PRDM9 binding to specific genomic motifs, leading to the recruitment of the recombination machinery (Keeney 2007; Borde et al. 2009; Lam and Keeney 2015). Hallmark features of PRDM9-active systems are that recombination is directed away from gene regions and that recombination hotspots experience rapid evolutionary turnover (Baudat et al. 2010, 2013; Myers et al. 2010; Stevison et al. 2016). Alternatively, species lacking PRDM9 have hotspots localized to promoters, CGIs, and other H3K4me3-rich regions (Axelsson et al. 2012; Auton et al. 2013; Singhal et al. 2015; Baker et al. 2017; Kawakami et al. 2017; Schumer et al. 2018). Recombination hotspots in these species are also stable even over millions of years of evolution. This latter model of hotspot evolution has been observed repeatedly in anciently diverged lineages that have lost PRDM9 or lost PRDM9 function (Baker et al. 2017), and has come to be considered the PRDM9-less “default model.” Studies of canids, which lack PRDM9 function, and PRDM9 knockout mice lend further support to this hypothesis, as their hotspots localize to gene promoters, rather than intergenic regions (Brick et al. 2012; Auton et al. 2013).

We find that snakes represent a unique case in which PRDM9 function appears to enhance the default targeting of meiotic recombination to promoters and other functional elements (figs. 4 and 5), rather than recruiting the recombination machinery to genomic regions away from genes. Our inferred recombination maps for snakes provide consistent evidence that snake hotspots are localized to promoters, CGIs, and other functional regions (e.g., first exons), with recombination rates increasing with proximity to genes. Combined with evidence of PRDM9 activity and positive correlations between hotspot and PRDM9-binding site densities in snakes, these findings suggest that PRDM9 does not direct recombination away from genes, and instead may facilitate or reinforce the targeting of recombination to promoters and functional elements (i.e., typically the default for PRDM9-inactive systems; figs. 4 and 6 and supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). PRDM9 reinforcement of this default pattern is supported by our finding of higher recombination rates in promoters and CGIs that contain PRDM9 predicted binding sites (supplementary fig. S16, Supplementary Material online).

Our conclusion that PRDM9 activity in snakes appears to enhance the presumed default recombination mechanism, by targeting promoters and functional elements, expands the known repertoire of PRDM9 function and raises the question of how widespread the targeting of functional regions by PRDM9 may be in other vertebrates. Evidence that PRDM9 is under positive selection in mammals (Oliver et al. 2009; Ponting 2011) has led to speculation for an adaptive role of PRDM9 in deflecting recombination hotspots away from function genomic regions (Brick et al. 2012). Our findings that PRDM9 in snakes may direct recombination toward functional regions challenges this hypothesis, and together with evidence for multiple losses of PRDM9 in vertebrates (Baker et al. 2017), raises further questions about the evolutionary significance of directing recombination away from genomic regions. In an analogous departure from simple bifurcating models of recombination landscapes, a recent study in stickleback fish demonstrated rapid turnover in recombination hotspots, despite evidence for weak PRDM9 activity, and weak associations between PRDM9-binding and hotspot densities (Shanfelter et al. 2019). Our findings, along with those from sticklebacks, illustrate the potential for studies of diverse vertebrate systems to reveal novel deviations from the canonical models of vertebrate meiotic recombination.

A Potential Role for CTCFL in Snake Recombination

The CTCF gene family, including CTCF and CTCFL, plays central roles in directing chromatin loops that modulate the associations between genes and their regulators (Phillips and Corces 2009; Merkenschlager and Odom 2013; Ong and Corces 2014). They are also well known as “insulator proteins,” due to their role in precisely directing (or preventing) interactions between enhancers and promoters, and have been shown to be important in mammalian imprinting (Bell and Felsenfeld 2000; Rao et al. 2014). Recently CTCF has been shown to perform a secondary function of maintaining genomic stability in DSB regions in mammals (Lang et al. 2017; Hwang et al. 2019). While less well studied, CTCFL has been shown in mammals to be expressed more highly in germline tissues, and to bind to the recognition sites of CTCF (Loukinov et al. 2002), although it may bind...
differentially depending on nucleosome composition (Sleutels et al. 2012). The emerging hypothesis from mammalian studies is that CTCFL may play an important role in germline development (Sleutels et al. 2012), possibly due to its function in stabilizing DSBs during meiotic recombination.

We inferred that a large proportion of rattlesnake recombination hotspots contained CTCF and CTCFL-binding sites, and further observed high relative expression of CTCF in the snake germline (fig. 5). Our findings that snake hotspots are enriched for CTCF family binding sites parallels previous findings that mammalian recombination hotspots exhibit an abundance of these binding sites (Wu et al. 2012; Kaiser and Semple 2018), and provide additional evidence for a broad role of CTCF proteins in meiotic recombination in vertebrates. Specifically, considering the high frequency of DSBs associated with hotspots, the enrichment of CTCF/CTCFL-binding sites in these regions argues further for the functional role of this group of proteins in genome stability in the context of meiotic recombination. These results also represent the first evidence for the importance of these proteins in recombination outside of mammals, suggesting that CTCFL may play similar functional roles across diverse vertebrate lineages. Altemose et al. (2017) also showed that PRDM9 can positively regulate the expression of CTCF in the human germline, suggesting an intriguing secondary function of PRDM9 in general meiotic function, and a link between CTCFL activity and PRDM9 activity.

Recombination Variation within and among Chromosomes
Microchromosomes are a widespread feature of vertebrate genomes. All major amniote lineages, except mammals and crocodilians, possess microchromosomes (O’Connor et al. 2018), as do most fish and nonanuran amphibians (Voss et al. 2011; Braasch et al. 2016). A recurring theme among these species is that recombination rates on microchromosomes tend to be higher than rates on macrochromosomes (Backström et al. 2010; Roesti et al. 2013; Burri et al. 2015; Singhal et al. 2015). In the zebra finch, for example, microchromosomes exhibit consistently elevated recombination rates reminiscent of telomeric regions of macrochromosomes (Backström et al. 2010). To date, recombination rate estimates from microchromosome-possessing species have been almost entirely based on analyses of bird genomes (e.g., Backström et al. 2010; Singhal et al. 2015; Kawakami et al. 2017)—our results therefore corroborate that high recombination rates may be a consistent feature of vertebrate microchromosomes in general. Snake microchromosomes also have greater GC richness, density of CGIs, gene content, and genetic diversity than macrochromosomes (Schield et al. 2019), features which are broadly reminiscent of avian microchromosome structure and genetic diversity (McQueen et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2000; Hillier et al. 2004; Backström et al. 2010; Warren et al. 2010). The finding that features of microchromosome composition and recombination show similar patterns in snakes and birds suggests the existence of common links between microchromosome structure, function, and evolution between these divergent groups that would be interesting to evaluate across other vertebrate lineages.

Why recombination rates are elevated on microchromosomes is not entirely clear. Previous explanations have implicated mechanistic and structural factors, such as an obligate chiasma per meiosis regardless of chromosome length (Jones and Franklin 2006), the unique compositional properties of microchromosomes described earlier, and the observation that microchromosomes are rich in open chromatin that may favor recombination (McQueen et al. 1996, 1998). Our findings demonstrate that mean recombination rate in snakes is negatively correlated with chromosome length, and positively correlated with gene density (fig. 1 and table 1)—broadly supporting multiple hypotheses for mechanisms that may explain elevated recombination on microchromosomes, as well as the potential synergistic effects of an obligate chiasma per meiosis and open chromatin density. Together, evidence for the distinct structural and evolutionary properties of microchromosomes and their prevalence across vertebrates pose broad questions about the evolutionary significance of microchromosomes and the genes that they contain, which should be far less constrained by genetic linkage than genes on macrochromosomes.

Previous studies have illustrated associations between recombination rates, targets of selection (i.e., genes), and polymorphism across the genomes of diverse taxa, supporting the hypothesis that natural selection and recombination shape the distribution of genetic diversity across the genome, and ultimately shape processes of speciation and adaptation (Ellegren et al. 2012; McGaugh et al. 2012; Burri et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016). Our findings support this hypothesis in snakes, as we found significant genome-wide associations between recombination rates, gene density, and polymorphism (fig. 1), suggesting that genetic diversity in snakes is also shaped by linked selection. Linked selection has also been shown to generate genomic islands of differentiation between lineages and species (Nadeau et al. 2012; Burri et al. 2015; Martin et al. 2019). Further investigation into the roles of recombination and natural selection in driving genomic differentiation between snake lineages will be required to test this hypothesis, but would be valuable as a comparison to other vertebrate systems to evaluate the degree to which linked selection drives speciation.

Within chromosomes, our results demonstrate that recombination in snakes is highly heterogeneous and is concentrated toward the ends of chromosomes (fig. 1 and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). This pattern is similar to patterns observed in birds and mammals (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004), with more pronounced telomere effects in birds (Backström et al. 2010). In humans, this pattern has been attributed to the greater relative rate of male recombination concentrated in telomeres (Broman et al. 1998), and it is suggested that differences in the magnitude of the telomere effect between mammal species may be driven by differences in male meiosis (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004). Although our sex-averaged estimates of recombination rate in snakes preclude us from drawing conclusions about male-biased recombination, the similarity of telomere-effect patterns between
divergent vertebrate species suggests that the concentration of recombination in telomeres may be driven by mechanisms that are conserved across amniote vertebrates.

**Conclusion**

We examined snake recombination landscapes for the first time using population genomic data from two rattlesnake species. We found evidence that snakes have recombination hotspots, and these hotspots evolve rapidly among lineages, consistent with observed patterns of PRDM9 activity in directing meiotic recombination. Snake recombination hotspots and higher recombination in general are focused in promoters and genes, which is otherwise associated with vertebrates that lack an active or functional PRDM9. Multiple lines of evidence suggest that snakes represent an outlier among vertebrates because, unlike vertebrates studied to date, they appear to have a functional PRDM9 that may reinforce, rather than counteract the targeting of recombination to functional regions. We also find evidence that CTCFL-binding sites are enriched in snake recombination hotspots, providing further evidence for a potential role of CTCFL in maintaining genome stability in regions of frequent recombination. Finally, broad patterns across the genome illustrate the potential for variation in recombination rate to shape the distribution of genetic diversity, and suggest that elevated recombination rates on microchromosomes may be a common feature across vertebrate lineages. Our conclusions that snake recombination mechanisms break with existing paradigms for vertebrates highlight the value of investigating diverse vertebrate lineages to understand variation in recombination mechanisms, and how this variation may shape vertebrate genome evolution.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reference Genome and Annotation**

Previously, we assembled and annotated a reference genome for the prairie rattlesnake (*Crotalus viridis*; CroVir3.0; *Schield et al. 2019*). The assembly includes scaffolds corresponding to the 18 chromosomes in the rattlesnake karyotype (2n = 36), and 17,352 annotated protein-coding genes. We also previously annotated repeat elements throughout the genome using RepeatMasker (*Smit et al. 2015*). We used this genome assembly as the reference for read mapping, and used gene and repeat annotations from the previous study for downstream analyses. The reference genome is available through NCBI BioProject accession PRJNA413201.

We identified CGIs in the prairie rattlesnake genome we used the EMBOSS v6.6.0 “cgppplot” function (*Larsen et al. 1992*). We specified a search window of 500 bp and a minimum CGI length of 250 bp. CGIs were only called if the observed versus expected ratio of CpG content exceeded 0.6 and the proportion of GC bases within the window was >0.5. Overlapping predicted CGIs were then collapsed into single-contiguous CGI regions, which resulted in 43,538 CGIs in the rattlesnake genome. We measured CGI density throughout the genome using a Python script “window_quantify_CGIs.py” (https://github.com/drewschield/recognition/), which we ran on the GFF file generated by cgppplot. Specifically, we measured the density of CGIs as the proportion of bases within a window annotated as CGIs. We defined promoters as the 2-kb region upstream of transcription start sites, based on the prairie rattlesnake genome annotation described earlier. To annotate the recombination landscape, we divided the genome into intervals of nine nonoverlapping feature categories: intergenic regions, repeat elements, CGIs, promoters with CGIs, promoters without CGIs, first exons, first introns, other exons, and other introns. For intergenic regions, we extracted all regions that were not already annotated as genes, promoters, CGIs, or repeat elements using the bedtools “complement” program (*Quinlan and Hall 2010*). We identified CGIs not associated with genes or promoters in the same way, and used bedtools “intersect” to identify promoters containing CGIs. We used a custom Python script “parse_first_exon_intron.py” (https://github.com/drewschield/recognition/; last accessed January 22, 2020) to parse first exons and introns from the genome GFF annotation file, then used bedtools “complement” using the output from our script and the GFF to obtain remaining exons and introns from all genes.

**Whole-Genome Resequencing, Mapping, and Variant Calling**

We sampled populations of the prairie rattlesnake (*Crotalus viridis*; n = 21) and the northern Pacific rattlesnake (*C. oreganus*; n = 17) for whole-genome resequencing. For simplicity, we refer to these taxa as CV and CO throughout this study. We also sampled an individual western diamondback rattlesnake (*C. atrox*), red diamondback rattlesnake (*C. ruber*), and Mojave rattlesnake (*C. scutulatus*; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) as outgroup taxa for phylogenetic and ancestral allele inferences. DNA was extracted from blood and liver tissue that was either snap-frozen or preserved in DNA lysis buffer. We extracted DNA using a standard phenol–chloroform–isoamyl alcohol extraction and precipitation. Genomic sequencing libraries were generated from purified DNA using KAPA HyperPlus and Illumina Nextera DNA Flex kits, multiplexed together, and sequenced on multiple Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 lanes using 150-bp paired-end reads. Raw sequencing reads were adapter-trimmed and processed using the Illumina BaseSpace distributions of FastQC v1.0.0 and Fastq Toolkit v2.2.0 (BaseSpace Labs). Bases on 5′- and 3′-ends of reads with quality scores <20 were trimmed, and any reads with a final length <36 bp, or with an average quality score <30 were removed. We aligned trimmed and filtered reads to the prairie rattlesnake reference genome using the BWA v0.7.1 “mem” algorithm (*Li and Durbin 2009*) with default settings, and marked duplicate mappings for downstream removal. These mappings yielded an average coverage of 36.7× per sample (range = 9–106.2×; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

We called indel and SNP variants from individual mappings using the Illumina BaseSpace distribution of the Dragen Germline pipeline v3.0 variant caller (Edico
Genomics), which generated a genomic variant call file (gVCF) for each individual. We then used the GATK v3.8.1 “genotypeGVCFs” module (McKenna et al. 2010) to call population variants within CV and CO separately. We performed several postprocessing steps using BCftools (Li et al. 2009) and VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011) to remove potential artifacts from low-quality variant calls. Specifically, we filtered indels from downstream analysis and retained only biallelic SNPs with a genotype quality score > 10, filtered all SNPs within 5 bp of an indel, and avoided variant calls in highly repetitive regions by filtering all SNPs that overlapped with repeat elements annotated in Schield et al. (2019). We also removed all variant sites on the Z chromosome (other than the PAR) that had erroneous heterozygous calls in known females after previous filtering steps, as these are the likely product of variation between Z and W gametologs. After variant calling and filtering, we retained 14,102,890 SNPs in CV and 13,471,063 SNPs in CO for downstream analyses of divergence times, LD-based population-scaled recombination rates, polymorphism, and allele frequency distributions. We used the number of filtered SNPs to estimate within-lineage diversity ($\theta_w$), according to Watterson (1975), based on a total sequence length of 1.34 Gb, with $2n = 36$ chromosomes.

Divergence Time Estimation
To obtain an estimate of the divergence time between CV and CO, we performed divergence date estimation using the Bayesian framework implemented in SNAPP (Bryant et al. 2012). To obtain an input SNP alignment, we sampled at random two individuals from our sampling of CV and CO, and also included data from C. atrox and C. ruber (see above). We then called variants using the same procedures and settings as above for variant calling in CV and CO, but to make analyses computationally tractable we also thinned the SNP matrix so that SNPs were at least 100 kb apart, and filtered to remove sites where data were present in fewer than four individuals after previous filtering steps. This procedure resulted in 15,712 SNPs used in phylogenetic analyses. To generate a SNAPP input XML file for divergence dating, we used the parameters --states 1000 --burn 200 --prune 210 --main 2000, and assessed switch error rate using the VCFtools “diff-switch-error” function (Danecek et al. 2011), in order to determine whether the SHAPEIT MCMC had converged between individual runs. Using the parameter settings above, our runs resulted in low mean switch error (1.2% in CV and 2.2% in CO) between independent runs of the phasing algorithm.

Estimation of LD-Based Recombination Rate
We estimated the recombinational maps for CV and CO from phased haplotypes using the LD-based approach implemented in LDhelmet (Chan et al. 2012). In addition to haplotypes, LDhelmet takes an estimate of population-scaled genetic diversity (i.e., Watterson’s $\theta$), and prior estimates of ancestral allele states and a $4 \times 4$ mutation transition matrix as input. Here, we used an average of the Watterson’s $\theta$ estimates for CV and CO described earlier (0.005), and generated ancestral allele and mutation matrix priors for each chromosome using the Perl script described in Shanfelter et al. (2019), using C. atrox and C. scutulatus as outgroup taxa for ancestral allele inference with the topology (C. atrox, (C. scutulatus, (C. viridis, C. oreganus))) (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). For each SNP in CV and CO, we assigned an ancestral prior if the SNP was present in both C. atrox and C. scutulatus and if both outgroup species were homozygous for the same allele. Following Singhal et al. (2015) and Shanfelter et al. (2019), we assigned the inferred ancestral state a prior probability of 0.91, and each other allele state a uniform prior of 0.03. Where we could not determine the ancestral allele, the prior probability per allele was set equal to its frequency across the entire chromosome.

We generated input SNP sequence and position files for LDhelmet using a custom Python script, modified from Shanfelter et al. (2019), and generated full sequence fasta inputs using the vcflib component “vcf2fasta” (https://github.com/vcflib/vcflib; last accessed January 22, 2020), which were formatted using an additional Python script (“change_fasta_header.py”). We generated haplotype configuration files for each chromosome using the “find_confs” module, setting the window size to 50 SNPs. We then used the “table_gen” module to produce a likelihood lookup table per chromosome, with the grid of population-scaled recombination rate per base pair ($\rho$/bp) values recommended in the LDhelmet manual (r 0.0 0.1 10.0 1.0 100.0; Chan et al. 2012). We generated padé coefficient files to be used in the reverse-jump MCMC procedure using the module “pade.” For table_gen and pade steps, we specified the population-scaled diversity parameter --t 0.005. We then estimated recombination rates in CV and CO using the rjmcmc module.
setting a window size of 50 SNPs, a burn in of 100,000 generations, and 1,000,000 sampled generations per run. We performed rjmcmc analyses under two block penalties, 10 and 100—lower block penalties are shown to more reliably capture fine-scale recombination variation, and larger block penalties are useful for characterizing the broad genomic landscape of recombination (Singhal et al. 2015). Finally, we converted the output of the rjmcmc module using the “post_to_text” module, and used a custom Python script to calculate \( r/\text{bp} \) in 10-kb, 100-kb, and 1-Mb sliding windows as the mean value of \( r \) for all sampled positions in per window. For these steps, we masked assembled centromere regions identified in Schield et al. (2019), as these exhibited spurious recombination rates in preliminary runs, likely due to local over- and under-assembly. We then combined windowed results from each chromosome per species using custom scripts. Bash and Python scripts used for LDhelmet analysis and for processing MCMC results are available at https://github.com/drewschield/recombination (last accessed January 22, 2020).

Recombination Variation and Relationships with Other Genomic Features

To characterize within-chromosome variation in recombination rate, we identified candidate telomere regions based on Schield et al. (Schield et al. 2019), and using sliding window measures of GC and repeat content. Here, we calculated GC content in 1-Mb genomic windows of the C. viridis reference genome as the proportion of \( G + C \) bases over total non-ambiguous bases in each window, and we measured repeat density in 1-Mb sliding windows as the total number of repeat element bases annotated by RepeatMasker divided by the total window length. Because patterns on microchromosomes were less clear, we limited our qualitative comparison of recombination rates in centromere and telomere regions to macrochromosomes. Following Backström et al. (2010), we compared recombination rate and distance to chromosome end by sampling the 15-Mb end regions of each macrochromosome, and we tested the relationship between variables using Pearson’s correlation coefficients in R (R Core Team 2017).

We then compared LD-based recombination rate to genetic diversity and other features of the rattlesnake genome using pairwise and partial Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficient analyses between recombination rate estimates per species and nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)), GC content, gene density, and repeat content. For these comparisons, GC content and repeat content were measured as described earlier, and gene density was calculated as the number of annotated genes in a given 1-Mb sliding window. We measured \( \pi \) using the VCFtools ‘window-pi’ function (Danecek et al. 2011). All pairwise correlation analyses were performed using the “cor.test” function in R, and partial correlation analyses were done using the R package “ppcor” (Kim 2015). In partial correlation analyses, we controlled for nonpredictor variables by including them as potential confounding variables. For example, for partial correlations between recombination rate and \( \pi \), we accounted for GC content, gene density, and repeat content. For partial correlations between recombination rate and GC content, gene density, and repeat content, we specified the two respective nonpredictor variables as potentially confounding variables (table 1). Finally, to explore relationships at multiple resolutions, we repeated all analyses using measurements in 100-kb windows. We further visualized the pairwise genomic relationships between variables using the “smooth.spline” function in R, using the settings “spar” = 0.6 and “nknots” = 10.

We explored recombination variation across distinctive regions previously identified in Schield et al. (Schield et al. 2019) that may constitute evolutionary strata of the Z chromosome, including the PAR, the recent stratum, and older strata. For comparison to sliding window recombination rate estimates across the Z in CV and CO, we used measure of gene density and GC content detailed earlier, and also used measures of normalized measure of female and male \( \pi \) from the previous study. Here, normalized \( \pi \) was calculated by dividing the value of \( \pi \) in sliding windows by the autosomal median \( \pi \) value for each sex. These measures were used previously to characterize the recent stratum, which was inferred to have been recently recombination suppressed between the Z and W chromosomes, and which bears a unique pattern of high normalized female \( \pi \) and normalized male \( \pi \) that is roughly equivalent to the older strata. We used Welch’s two-sample t-tests to compare distributions of recombination rate between the PAR and other Z-linked regions and autosomes.

Power to Detect Recombination Hotspots

We performed a simulation study in order to determine our power to identify hotspots at various background recombination rates, hotspot “heats” relative to flanking regions, and block penalty parameters. We used the coalescent simulator MaCS (Chen et al. 2008) to simulate 1-Mb sequences under different background recombination rates (\( r/\text{bp} = 0.00002, 0.00002, 0.002, 0.02, 0.2 \)) for 38 haplotypes (i.e., 19 diploid individuals, the average number of individuals in our empirical data sets), setting population-scaled mutation rate equal to \( -t = 0.005 \). We simulated two sets of 2.5-kb recombination hotspots with \( 5 \times, 10 \times, 20 \times, \) and \( 40 \times \) relative heat in each replicate and performed at total of 50 replicates for each \( r/\text{bp} \) value. We converted the results of coalescent simulations to sequence alignments using Seq-Gen (Rambaut and Grass 1997) under the HKY substitution model, and estimated recombination rates per replicate using LDhelmet across multiple block penalties (5, 10, 20, and 50). Other LDhelmet analysis parameters matched those described earlier for our empirical data.

We then searched recombination maps for each parameter set in 2-kb windows, comparing recombination rate in each window to 40-kb up- and downstream regions in order to identify hotspots. Regions within the specified hotspot intervals set during coalescent simulations with greater than twice the background recombination rate were counted as true positives, and windows in flanking regions outside of specified hotspots with greater than ten times the background recombination rate were counted as false positives.
We repeated these steps for each background recombination rate and block penalty parameter set in order to compare our power to detect true hotspots and false positive rates under different settings. These simulations demonstrate that we have higher power to detect hotspots at lower block penalties, and when background recombination rate is intermediate. For example, analyses using a block penalty of 50 consistently failed to identify true hotspots at high frequency, and we were unable to detect hotspots reliably when background recombination rate was very high or low (i.e., 0.02 or 0.00002). We note that, although power to detect hotspots was consistently highest under a block penalty of 5, these analyses also produced higher false positive rates. Analyses under a block penalty of 10, however, had lower overall power, but consistently lower false positive rates at different background recombination rates and hotspot heats (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). We therefore used a block penalty of 10 in our empirical identification of hotspots, to reduce the likelihood of inferring spurious hotspots. We also specified that putative hotspots must have at least ten times the background recombination rate.

Recombination Hotspot Identification

Based on the results of our simulation study, and following the procedures of Singhal et al. (2015) and Kawakami et al. (2017), we used an operational definition based on the magnitude of relative population-scaled recombination rate ($\rho$) to identify candidate recombination hotspots in each species. Specifically, we defined potential hotspots as regions which had $>10$-fold $\rho$/bp compared with 40-kb upstream and downstream regions. To search for potential hotspots, we calculated mean $\rho$/bp within 2-kb sliding windows, where we slid each window 1 kb per iteration. We calculated the ratio of relative “heat” by dividing mean $\rho$/bp per window by the mean rate of the up- and downstream regions using a Python script “identify_hotspots.py.” After identifying candidate hotspots, we filtered using a Python script “filter_hotspots.py” to remove hotspots within 5 kb of another hotspot by assigning the window with the highest heat as the candidate hotspot for downstream analyses. We then characterized whether hotspots were specific to CV or CO, or if they were shared (i.e., <5 kb apart), and examined mean relative recombination rate across all hotspots and their flanking regions in 1-kb windows using the deepTools2 “plotProfile” function (Ramirez et al. 2016).

For comparison to candidate hotspots, we identified a set of matched coldspots for CV and CO using several search criteria. First, we characterized all putative coldspots as genomic windows with a background $\rho$/bp between 0.001 and 1 and with heat between 0.9 and 1.1 using a Python script “identify_coldspots.py.” Then, we matched hotspots to coldspots by identifying the candidate coldspot that was physically closest, but at least 25 kb away from the nearest hotspot, and that also had similar GC content (i.e., within 2%) to the nearest hotspot. We identified 9,253 and 10,662 matched coldspots in CV and CO, respectively, using these criteria. For further comparison of candidate hotspots and coldspots to the genomic background, we generated a random background sequence set by randomly sampling with replacement 2-kb genomic windows equal to the average number of hotspots identified in CV and CO, and repeated this procedure ten times. We refer to these sequences as the “random background” set, which contains 99,520 random background sequences from the C. viridis genome. We then compared GC content between hotspots and the genomic background using Welch’s two-sample t-tests, and measured Pearson’s correlation coefficients between mean $\rho$/bp and CGI density in 1-Mb windows.

Fine-Scale Recombination and Hotspot Annotation

As detailed earlier, we calculated fine-scale recombination rate for CV and CO in 10-kb sliding windows. To study relative recombination rate as a function of distance from functional regions, we compared $\rho$ in each 10-kb window to the physical distance to the nearest promoter or CGI annotated in the rafflesia genome. For each window, we calculated the distance to the nearest up- or downstream feature using the bedtools “closest” function (Quinlan and Hall 2010). We then used a Python script “bin_rho_distances.py” to calculate the mean and SD recombination rate in increasing distance intervals of 100 bp, for a maximum distance of 100 kb. We measured relative recombination rate in binned distance intervals by dividing the mean $\rho$/bp value per interval by the median $\rho$/bp among all intervals. We then used Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficients in R to measure the relationships between distance from promoters and CGIs and recombination rates in CV and CO. To explore the potential interaction of CGIs in promoters, we also repeated our promoter analysis, but first separated promoters with and without overlapping CGIs, then calculated mean $\rho$/bp per distance interval from CGI promoters and non-CGI promoters.

We used the nine nonoverlapping genomic features described in the “Reference Genome and Annotation” section to investigate the density of recombination hotspots in each feature category. For each feature, we determined the overlap between hotspots and feature intervals in base pairs and then calculated hotspot density as the proportion of overlapping bases in total feature interval bases for hotspots in both species. We then repeated these analyses for matched coldspots from both species. To compare hotspot density to overall recombination rate across genomic features, we also measured the distribution of recombination rate in each feature category.

Given evidence for higher recombination in gene-associated regions and a general concentration of hotspots in promoters and CGIs, we also measured recombination rate in 500-kb up- and downstream regions of all genes. First, we calculated the distance of 10-kb windowed recombination rate estimates from the nearest gene using bedtools “closest,” retaining the up- or downstream orientation of the window relative to the orientation of the gene. We then calculated mean recombination rate in regions 500 kb up- and downstream of genes in 5-kb intervals using the script “bin_rho_upstream_downstream.py” (Schield github). We tested for functional enrichment of genes that overlapped shared hotspots between CV and CO using WebGestalt.
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(Rライフ et al. 2019), with all annotated genes with an assigned orthologous human ID as the background, and using default program parameters.

Identification of DNA Motifs in Recombination Hotspots
We used components of the MEME suite (Bailey et al. 2009) to identify DNA sequence motifs enriched in recombination hotspots, using matched coldspots as control sequences. We first used MEME v5.1.0 (Bailey and Elkan 1994) to identify enriched motifs in hotspots using the "zoops" option in the differential enrichment mode. This option ignores repeat motif occurrences within the same sequences, and therefore avoids reported on repetitive motifs. We performed analyses for all CV and CO hotspots versus coldspots, and for CV- and CO-specific hotspots versus coldspots, specifically. In each analysis, we set the number of motif bases between 6 and 30 in order to confine the motif search space. We compared enriched hotspots motifs from MEME searches to known motifs in the JASPAR database using Tomtom v5.1.0 (Gupta et al. 2007) to identify homology to known binding motifs. MEME runs indicated that CV and CO hotspots had motifs with similarity to CTCF/CTCFL-binding motifs, so we further tested for enrichment of the C. viridis CTCF/CTCFL-binding site (JASPAR motifs MA0139.1 and MA1102.1) in hotspots of each species using AME v5.1.0 (McLeay and Bailey 2010), again setting coldspots as control sequences, and tested for significant enrichment using Fisher’s exact tests with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Snake PRDM9 Expression and Identification of Rattlesnake PRDM9-Binding Sites
Snakes possess a potentially functional PRDM9 gene, with a complement of KRAB, SSXRD, SET, and ZF domains, and with fast-evolving ZFs (Baker et al. 2017). We identified a candidate rattlesnake PRDM9 gene in the Crotalus viridis genome assembly detailed in the “Reference Genome and Annotation” section using a BLASTp homology search with the candidate PRDM9 from the Burmese Python (Python bivittatus) (Castoe et al. 2013). The prairie rattlesnake PRDM9 gene model included KRAB, SSXRD, and SET domains, but lacked ZFs due to a gap in the assembly.

To construct a rattlesnake PRDM9 gene model that includes ZFs, we first used Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011) to generate a transcriptome from testis RNA-seq data. Total RNA was extracted from ~100 mg of snap-frozen testis tissue using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). We then performed phase separation using BCP, followed by precipitation of RNA by isopropanol. We constructed an Illumina mRNASeq library using the Illumina TruSeq RNAseq kit, which included poly-A selection, RNA fragmentation, cDNA synthesis, and indexed Illumina adapter ligation.

The constructed mRNA-seq was then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 using 150-bp paired-end reads. We quality-filtered and adapter-trimmed the raw RNA-seq data using Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014). We then performed de novo transcriptome assembly using forward and reverse paired reads in Trinity v2.2.0 (Grabherr et al. 2011) using program default settings. Following de novo transcriptome assembly, we translated all assembled transcripts and performed a second BLASTp homology search against the translated CDS database for the Burmese python and identified a single best-hit candidate PRDM9 protein sequence, which was also identical to the annotated PRDM9 protein from the prairie rattlesnake genome assembly, except for the missing ZFs. We then queried the NCBI database with the candidate PRDM9 for C. viridis using an additional BLASTp search, which identified putative KRAB, SSXRD, SET, and C2H2 ZF domains (four total ZFs), and confirmed orthology to PRDM9 from other vertebrates.

Because de novo transcriptome assembly could produce potentially spurious results in the case of complex genes such as PRDM9, we separately used multiple approaches to assess the validity of the mRNA-seq derived transcript, including Sanger sequencing, gap-filling approaches, and Illumina sequencing of amplicons. Approaches that provided evidence of additional sequence within the ZF-encoding exon of C. viridis PRDM9 included the generation of two separate 10× Genomics linked-read sequencing libraries for a female C. viridis from the same population as the genome animal and PacBio long read data from Schield et al. (2019). Linked-read libraries were generated at the Texas A&M Genomics Core and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using 150-bp paired-end reads. We assembled linked-read assemblies from these data using Supernova v2.1.1 (Weischenfeld et al. 2017), setting the number of input reads to 560 million, as recommended by the program manual for the estimated C. viridis genome size. This produced two assemblies, C. viridis 10× assembly “A” and “B,” with which we output scaffolds using “pseudo-hap2” format.

We then aligned DNA and translated protein sequences from Deinagkistrodon acutus and the C. viridis PRDM9 putative transcript to the PRDM9 region of the D. acutus genome using the Exonerate models “protein2genome” and “coding2genome” (Slater and Birney 2005). This confirmed conservation of exon and intron boundaries and identified the extent of the incomplete portions of the C. viridis transcript. Using the same models, the D. acutus PRDM9 transcript was then aligned to the C. viridis genome region predicted to contain PRDM9 to identify exon and intron boundaries. This revealed the entire beginning portion of exon 1, from the start codon to the beginning of the C. viridis putative transcript. In addition, it placed exon 9, containing the ZF array, entirely within the gapped region of C. viridis. The alignment of D. acutus exons to each genome were used to anchor a sequence alignment between the D. acutus and C. viridis genome regions (supplementary fig. S11 and data files S1–S3, Supplementary Material online), and intron alignments were refined using both Muscle (Edgar 2004) and manual edits. Additional genome sequence from D. acutus beyond the end of the protein-coding region was included to span the gapped portion of the C. viridis genome region, producing alignments for both sequences flanking the gap. Although D. acutus exon 9 was contained entirely within the gap region, there was not a stop codon present in frame until the aligned region in the sequence flanking the gap,
suggesting that the end portion of the \( \text{C. viridis} \) \( \text{ZF} \) array was present in the assembly.

We then performed a BlastN search (Altschul et al. 1990; Camacho et al. 2009) of the 1,587-bp region of \( \text{D. acutus} \) that spanned the \( \text{C. viridis} \) gap against 10\( \times \) \( \text{C. viridis} \) assembly A, 10\( \times \) \( \text{C. viridis} \) assembly B, and the unaligned, error-corrected PacBio reads. The \( \text{D. acutus} \) and translated de novo transcriptome predicted \( \text{C. viridis} \) protein sequences of the exon contained in the gap were then aligned to each BLAST result using Exonerate “protein2genome” to confirm its presence and verify homology. Each result was then aligned to the \( \text{C. viridis} \) genome sequence flanking the gap regions, to each other, and to the \( \text{D. acutus} \) region spanning the gap to confirm sufficient amount of overlap between sequences with high sequence identity. “Scaffold 468599” from \( \text{C. viridis} \) 10\( \times \) assembly A aligned and covered the two exons preceding the gap, and extended into the \( \text{C. viridis} \) gap region, with 3,887/5,491 bases covering the region flanking the gap. A second scaffold (“Scaffold 332766”) from \( \text{C. viridis} \) 10\( \times \) assembly B then produced BLAST results with substantial overlap (with “Scaffold 468599” from the other assembly) over the new 10\( \times \) scaffold for 1,023/1,090 bp, and extended further into the gap region. Finally, a single long PacBio read had high-similarity hits to both ends of the gap and the new region filled by the 10\( \times \) scaffolds, but contained a large number of erroneous bases that precluded the prediction of the ZF array beyond the consensus sequence from the \( \text{C. viridis} \) genome, \( \text{C. viridis} \) 10\( \times \) assemblies, and the PacBio sequence upstream of the erroneous region (supplementary data sets S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). When annotated using FGENESH+ (Solovyev et al. 2006), this \( \text{C. viridis} \) consensus sequence included three tandem ZFs, the first two of which matched exactly those recovered using de novo transcriptome assembly (supplementary data set S3, Supplementary Material online). The third ZF differed between sequences produced by these approaches, which, given the overlapping evidence of the correct sequence from our genomic data, was likely a spurious result introduced when attempting to de novo assemble a transcript from this highly complex region. The sequence produced by partially gap-filling the \( \text{C. viridis} \) \( \text{PRDM9} \) gene region was then used for downstream gene expression and motif prediction. We provide the alignment of the sequences described earlier, the consensus \( \text{C. viridis} \) partially gap-filled \( \text{PRDM9} \) gene region sequence, and FGENESH+ \( \text{PRDM9} \) annotation as supplementary data sets S1–S3, Supplementary Material online.

We compared gene expression of \( \text{PRDM9} \) orthologs from the prairie rattlesnake to other vertebrate species, including two snake species, in order to examine whether \( \text{PRDM9} \) expression is consistent with a functional role in directing recombination. We first compiled RNA-seq data from testis, ovary, brain, heart, kidney, liver, muscle, and small intestine from the zebrafish (Danio rerio; Hu et al. 2015), clawed frog (Xenopus laevis; Session et al. 2016), human (Homo sapiens; Fagerberg et al. 2014), mouse (Mus musculus; Mouse ENCODE consortium), Burmese python (Python bivittatus; Castoe et al. 2013), five-pace viper (Deinagkistrodon acutus; Yin et al. 2016), and the prairie rattlesnake (Crotalus viridis; Perry et al. 2019; Schiold et al. 2019). For \( \text{D. acutus} \), only testis, ovary, brain, and liver RNA-seq data were available. All RNA-seq data were generated previously and were available through the NCBI short-read archive (SRA) or have been submitted to the NCBI SRA (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). We quality and adapter-trimmed raw reads using Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014), and assessed processed read quality using FastQC (Andrews 2010; available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc; last accessed January 22, 2020). We then mapped processed reads using default parameters in STAR v2.7.0f (Dobin et al. 2013), using the latest version of the reference genome and gene annotation per species available on NCBI (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). For human and mouse, only primary genome assemblies and corresponding annotation files were used. We normalized raw read counts from STAR using DESeq2 v1.20 (Love et al. 2014). We then extracted normalized counts across tissues for the \( \text{PRDM9} \) ortholog of each species, and compared expression across tissues within each species using log2 normalized counts in R.

We aligned \( \text{PRDM9} \) nucleotide sequences from \( \text{C. viridis} \), \( \text{D. acutus} \), and \( \text{P. bivittatus} \) using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar 2004), translated the resulting alignment to protein, and made minor manual edits to examine evidence of premature stop codons in the \( \text{PRDM9} \) ortholog of each species. We visualized the alignment using AliView v1.18.1 (Larsson 2014). The translated alignment contained no premature stop codons. We used a web-based DNA-binding site predictor (http://zf.princeton.edu/logoMain.php; last accessed January 22, 2020) (Persikov and Singh 2014) for \( \text{C. H}_2 \) \( \text{Z} \) proteins to identify a binding motif for the four prairie rattlesnake \( \text{PRDM9} \) ZFs using the expanded linear SVM prediction model. This analysis yielded a position weight matrix (PWM) for a putative 10-mer \( \text{PRDM9} \)-binding motif (fig. 6b). We used PoSSuM Search v2.0 (Beckstette et al. 2006) to computationally predict binding sites in the prairie rattlesnake genome using this PWM, specifying a \( P \) value threshold of \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \), which identified 52,522 putative binding sites across the genome. For downstream comparisons, we also identified PWMs and predicted binding sites for \( \text{PRDM9} \) from \( \text{P. bivittatus} \) and \( \text{D. acutus} \) based on gene models from Castoe et al. (2013) and Yin et al. (2016), and using the settings detailed earlier for binding site prediction and computational identification of putative binding sites in the \( \text{C. viridis} \) genome; here, we also included the \( \text{Boa constrictor} \) \( \text{PRDM9} \)-binding motif as an additional comparison (supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online). We tested for occurrence and enrichment of \( \text{PRDM9} \)-binding sites in species-specific recombination hotspots with the PWM described earlier using AME v5.1.0, using matched coldspots as background controls sequences for each species, and tested for significant enrichment using Fisher’s exact tests.

**Data Availability**

The assembly and annotation files are also available at https://figshare.com/projects/Prairie_rattlesnake_Crotalus_viridis_genome_assembly_and_annotation/66560 (last accessed...

Supplementary Material
Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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